

**Remarks/Arguments**

Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested in light of the following remarks. Upon entry of this amendment claims 112-128 would now be pending, claims 1-111 having been cancelled. Thus, the total number of pending claims after entry of the amendment would have been reduced from 36 to 17.

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner noted allowable subject matter in claims 3, 11, 18, 41, 54-57, 60, 95, 96, 98-101, 103-109 and 111 if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.<sup>1</sup> Based on the foregoing amendments, applicants believe that the newly presented claims comply with that indication and thus all pending claims are now allowable. What follows is a table showing the correspondence of new claims 112-128 and the allowable claims 3, 11, 18, 41, 54-57, 60, 95, 96, 98-101, 104-109 and 111.

<b>Previous Allowable Claim</b>	<b>Newly Added Claim</b>
3	117
11 and 18	113
41	114
54, 55, 56, 57	115
60	116
95	112
96	118
99	119
100	120
101	121
104	122
105	123
106	124
107	125
108	126
109	127
111	128

---


<sup>1</sup> The indication of allowable subject matter in claim 103 appears to have been an error, as this claim also is listed in the rejected claims.

Claims 1, 2, 36, 43, 44, 58, 59, 61, 62, 89, 97, 102, 103 and 110 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iwata et al., CAPLUS Abstract 116:214490 (1992). The Office Action specifically cites compound RN 140893-46-5. Since all of these claims have been cancelled, this rejection is now moot. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Reconsideration and the allowance of the pending claims are thus respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 22, 2005

By:   
Joseph M. Skerpon  
Reg. No. 29,864

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.  
1001 G Street, N.W., Eleventh Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20001-4597  
(202) 824-3000

9005548-2